

## Editorial

### *After Husserl: Phenomenological Foundations of Mathematics*

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to all contributors for all of the hard work they put into writing their pieces of original work to show the lasting vibrancy and vitality of Edmund Husserl's ideas and to encourage further investigations. From the outset it was my intention to open up a way of research on different interpretative perspectives on Edmund Husserl and his interlocutors (Gottlob Frege, Oskar Becker, David Hilbert, Hermann Weyl, J. Klein, L.E.J. Brouwer, Kurt Gödel, Bourbaki, Marc Richir), which does not end up either in the naive celebration of Husserl's investigations of *das Mathematisierendes Dasein* or in its brute rejection.

Professor Ilie Pârnu, my doctoral supervisor and an exemplary philosopher, was an inspiration: his erudition and analytical creativity spurred me on. This special issue would have been a very different project without his philosophical support. Also, I benefitted greatly from conversations on Oskar Becker's *opus magnum* from 1927, *Mathematical Existence* (*Mathematische Existenz*), and David Hilbert's 'transcendentalism' exposed in his *metamathematics*, with Constantin C. Brîncuș, with whom I worked in the project "The Phenomenological Analysis of Axiomatic Mathematics."

Brigitte Parakenings, the archivist at the Philosophical Archive of the University of Konstanz, helped me enormously with archival materials from The Oskar Becker collection.

I am very grateful to George Bondor for his constructive suggestions and excellent editorial support. Thanks go also to the representatives and the production team of *Meta: Research in Hermeneutics, Phenomenology, and Practical Philosophy*.

Guest Editor: Iulian Apostolescu  
(University of Bucharest & *Phenomenological Reviews*)  
December 15th, 2019  
Bucharest, Romania